

THE HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

PARLER, LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC., and  
AMAZON.COM, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 21-cv-00270-BJR

DECLARATION OF ANGELO J.  
CALFO IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF  
PARLER LLC'S MOTION TO SEAL

I, Angelo J. Calfo, declare as follows:

1. I am a practicing attorney in the State of Washington and a partner with Calfo Eakes LLP. I am counsel for Plaintiff Parler LLC in this matter. I am competent to testify and have personal knowledge of the matters described herein.

2. On March 10, 2021, I met and conferred via videoconference with counsel for Defendants, Ambika Doran and Alonzo Wickers, regarding Plaintiff's motion to seal. Mr. Wickers and Ms. Doran stated that Defendants do not oppose Plaintiff's requests to seal the names of Parler, LLC's members in its Corporate Disclosure Statement in light of safety concerns and previous threats.

CALFO DECLARATION ISO PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO SEAL  
(Case No. 2:21-cv-00270-BJR) - 1

LAW OFFICES  
**CALFO EAKES LLP**  
1301 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 2800  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3808  
TEL (206) 407-2200 FAX (206) 407-2224

I declare under perjury of penalty under the laws of the State of Washington and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

By s/Angelo Calfo  
Angelo J. Calfo, WSBA# 27079

# EXHIBIT A

The Honorable Barbara J. Rothstein

David J. Groesbeck  
WSBA No. 24749  
David J. Groesbeck, P.S.  
1333 E. Johns Prairie Rd  
Shelton, Washington 98584  
Tel.: 509-747-2800  
Fax: 509-747-2828  
Email: [david@groesbecklaw.com](mailto:david@groesbecklaw.com)

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE**

PARLER LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMAZON WEB SERVICES, INC.,

Defendant

No. 2:21-cv-00031-BJR

**DECLARATION OF JOHN  
MATZE, JR. IN SUPPORT OF  
PARLER'S MOTION FOR  
TEMPORARY RESTRAINING  
ORDER**

MATZE DECL. ISO TRO MOTION  
(No. 2:21-cv-00031-BJR) - 1



David J. Groesbeck, P.S.  
Attorney and Counselor  
1333 E. Johns Prairie Rd  
Shelton, Washington 98584  
(509) 747-2800

1  
2 The undersigned declares as follows:

3  
4 1. I am the Chief Executive Officer for Parler LLC ("Parler"). I am over  
5 the age of 18 years and have personal knowledge, and competence to testify if  
6 needed, of the matters set forth herein through firsthand knowledge and review of  
7 corporate documents kept in the ordinary course of business.  
8

9  
10 2. I am a co-founder of Parler and have served as its CEO since its  
11 founding in 2018. Consequently, I have personal knowledge of the company and its  
12 dealings with Amazon Web Services, Inc. ("AWS").  
13

14 3. Like many social media platforms, Parler charges no subscription  
15 fees, but instead generates all of its income from advertisement revenue.  
16 Immediately before AWS shut down all online services, Parler had over 15 million  
17 accounts and approximately 1 million new downloads of its app per day. Because  
18 there was a widespread public expectation that President Trump would join the  
19 Parler platform after being expelled from Twitter, there was a very real possibility  
20 that Parler's estimated value might soon rise to several billions of dollars.  
21  
22

23  
24 4. I am aware that there has been some discussion in the news media of  
25 metadata evidence supposedly proving that Parler users were at or in the Capital  
26 Building during the recent riot. However, the evidence presented by the media does  
27 not actually show this. Instead, it shows the location where videos were *taken* that  
28  
29  
30

31 MATZE DECL. ISO TRO MOTION  
32 (No. 2:21-cv-00031-BJR) - 2



David J. Groesbeck, P.S.  
Attorney and Counselor  
1333 E. Johns Prairie Rd  
Shelton, Washington 98584  
(509) 747-2800

1 were later uploaded to Parler. But it does not show that videos were taken by a  
2 Parler user. Thus, for example, if a person recorded a video at the Capitol and then  
3 shared it with someone who decided to upload it, a Parler account who was nowhere  
4 near the Capitol could then upload the video to Parler. But the underlying  
5 geocaching data of the video would still show the original location where it was  
6 recorded. Thus, the fact that a Parler account had uploaded a video taken at the  
7 Capitol in no way shows that the Parler user was present there, rather it was  
8 plausible they were reporting the news.

12 5. Further, to my knowledge not one person that the news media has  
13 reported as arrested for the Capital riot has a Parler account. Although Ashli  
14 Babbitt, the woman shot and killed by law enforcement when she forced her way  
15 into the Capitol Building, did have a Parler account, it had not been used since  
16 November. She also had a Twitter account that *was* in use the day of the riot,  
17 January 6, 2020. *See* Exhibit A (Babbitt Tweet).

21 6. Until January 8, 2021, AWS gave us no indication that it considered  
22 our content moderation policies and methods violative either of the AWS  
23 Acceptable Use Policy or the AWS Customer Agreement (the “Agreement”). To the  
24 contrary, at the time AWS chose to enter into the Agreement with Parler, we had  
25 already informed AWS that Parler’s content moderation methods were reactive  
26 (moderating content, when necessary, after posting) rather than prospective (pre-



1 screening content). Until more recently, Parler has generally relied on a jury  
2 system wherein Parler “jurors” would flag and vote whether to remove problematic  
3 content according to community standards of decency. The Parler “jury pool”  
4 received significant training about terms of service and violations, including that  
5 Parler had no tolerance for inciting violence or lawbreaking. AWS had long been  
6 informed of this system, such as in conversations with AWS representative [REDACTED]  
7 [REDACTED], and never expressed any concerns with it before January 8, 2021.

11 7. Even more recently, AWS’s actions and communications led Parler’s  
12 corporate officers to believe that, far from being concerned about remaining in a  
13 contractual relationship with Parler, AWS wished to expand that contractual  
14 relationship. In September 2020, Parler received an email from AWS offering to  
15 finance our company as part of an AWS program for startups. Then, in mid-  
16 December 2020, AWS representatives spoke with Parler representatives seeking  
17 to sell proprietary AWS services on which Parler might rely for the core  
18 functionality of our company. Again, they offered both propositions with full notice  
19 of our content moderation methods and infrastructure.

24 8. On November 10, 2020, I met with AWS representatives to explore  
25 the possibility of Parler’s longterm engagement using AWS systems—so-called  
26 “vendor lock”—including a move to Amazon’s proprietary database. This would  
27 require a great deal of investment and trust on Parler’s behalf as we would have to



specifically design portions of our software to only work with Amazon-specific products. At that time, AWS knew there was a possibility that President Trump might obtain a Parler account, likely bringing with him a surge of followers to the Parler platform. What is more, around that time Parler had informed AWS that initial tests using Artificial Intelligence (“AI”) to pre-screen inappropriate content, including material that encouraged or incited violence, were returning promising results.

9. AWS was well aware that, between January 6 and 8, 2021, Parler was actively trying to address content moderation challenges exacerbated both by current events and by a corresponding and an unprecedented surge in Parler users and activity. As we communicated to AWS, the backlog of approximately 26,000 reports of potentially violative content that AWS references in their briefing accumulated during a 7-hour period from the afternoon to late evening of Friday, January 8, when, due to the infrastructural stresses resulting from that surge, Parler’s software went intermittently down and accounts using Parler had loading times exceeding 10 seconds to submit or receive on their device, making the ability to functionally use Parler extremely time-consuming., I was texting and otherwise in communication with [REDACTED] about the problems Parler’s software was facing given those unusual circumstances. At that time, neither she nor any other AWS representative indicated that AWS considered either our content moderation





1 methods nor our responsiveness to flagged content issues to be a violation of the  
2 Agreement. Instead, she and other AWS representatives engaged with Parler's  
3 technical support and Chief Technical Officer, [REDACTED], until January 8, 2021 at  
4 approximately 16:00 P.M., Pacific Time, at which time the AWS technical support  
5 team ceased helping Parler cope with these technological problems.  
6  
7

8 10. Because AWS had both longstanding knowledge of Parler's content  
9 moderation methods and immediate knowledge of our most recent efforts to cope  
10 with content moderation problems exacerbated by a dramatic surge in users and  
11 corresponding stress on Parler's technological infrastructure, Parler management  
12 was blindsided by AWS's abrupt notice, on January 9, 2021, that it considered  
13 Parler to be in violation of the Agreement.  
14  
15

16 11. Based on my interactions with AWS personnel during this period, I  
17 believe AWS's decision to terminate service to Parler was based, not on expressed  
18 concerns about Parler's compliance with the AWS Agreement, but in part on a  
19 desire to deny President Trump a platform on any large social-media service. AWS  
20 had inside and confidential knowledge from Parler about when and if he would  
21 join. The week of our ban most large tech companies barred Trump from having  
22 online access. [REDACTED], who is a Joe Biden supporter, was AWS's  
23 representative assigned to me by AWS, and was aware since at least October 11,  
24 2020, that Trump was considering moving to Parler under the pseudonym "Person  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32



1 X". She questioned me frequently via text message, phone calls and emails about  
2 any knowledge of those plans. It was only after Twitter announced its intention to  
3 terminate Trump from its platform that AWS expressed any concern about Parler's  
4 compliance with its agreement. And in fact, AWS's termination of Parler has had  
5 the effect of denying a large social-media platform to Trump, even while protecting  
6 a large customer—Twitter—from the increased competition that would have  
7 resulted if Trump had moved to Parler.  
8  
9

10  
11 12. I had a call with multiple members of the AWS team on Sunday night,  
12 January 11, 2021, shortly before AWS shut Parler down. In that call, I informed  
13 AWS that Parler, looking ahead to the upcoming Presidential inauguration, was  
14 actively trying to prevent the recurrence of infrastructural stresses and resulting  
15 content moderation problems by working on additional enhanced features such as  
16 machine learning algorithms which, although not perfect and only running for an  
17 hour or so, were able to proactively detect many types of toxic behavior and  
18 expressions of violence and report them to a Parler jury for review before the public  
19 could see the content. Besides telling AWS that Parler would begin pre-screening  
20 content, I also raised the possibility that Parler might buy Amazon's own AI  
21 software. We were discussing expedited development of an integration with  
22 Amazon Rekognition, which could help quickly identify images and videos which  
23 contained material against Parler's and AWS's terms of service. I also notified AWS  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30



1 that Parler was working through the backlog of 26,000 reported violations and that  
2 we could reduce the violations very quickly using machine learning. (Since that  
3 discussion, and as of today, Parler has reduced that backlog to under 1,000.)  
4

5 13. Despite this, during the call the AWS team was emphatic and explicit  
6 in voicing their determination to effect a final termination of their relationship  
7 with Parler, and not merely a temporary suspension. By shutting Parler down  
8 entirely, AWS has necessarily shut down all of Parler's more than 15 million  
9 accounts and, through advertising, its sole source of revenue. The company now  
10 has no income to meet its overhead or other financial obligations.  
11  
12

13 14. I recently learned that, when AWS shut down all its services to Parler  
14 at their predetermined hour, Route 53, a highly scalable domain name system  
15 (DNS) was nevertheless left open, which conveniently directed hackers to our  
16 backup datacenters and caused them to initiate a sizable DNS attacks. Sometime  
17 on Monday, January 11, after it was public knowledge that AWS would no longer  
18 support Parler's servers, AWS abruptly terminated the Route 53 link, and  
19 presumably stopped the hackers. This essentially became a threat to all future  
20 datacenters that, if they were to host Parler, they would be attacked by  
21 unprecedented hacks.  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

27 15. Prior to AWS's shutdown, I believed, and publicly stated, that Parler  
28 would quickly be able to find another hosting service. Since then, however, AWS's  
29  
30



highly publicized break from our contractual relationship, when coupled with the toxic notoriety of massive hacking attacks, has driven away nearly all existing and prospective business relationships, including other hosting services that Parler had hoped to use. In leaked communications, AWS has used language that has allowed the media to mischaracterize Parler in ways that have alienated Parler's partners and caused them to withdraw potential financing and infrastructural services:

- ScyllaDB, which provides very specialized enterprise support and specialized database software that Parler critically relies on for their core infrastructure, gave Parler their 30-day notice explaining that, “even if [AWS’s and the media’s accusations were] only partially true,” they could not continue their enterprise agreement with us.
- Slack Technologies, which provided a chat messaging system for coordinating with the Parler Jury that enforces our terms of service, abruptly canceled their services to Parler citing a violation of their own terms of service based on AWS’s decision to drop Parler. Losing Slack makes it extremely difficult to effectively enforce our terms of service with our almost 600 volunteer and paid Jury members.
- Stripe, which processes credit card payments for Parler in order to generate revenue, also alluded to AWS’s highly publicized allegations



1 of violence on Parler and has terminated their agreement. This  
2 renders it impossible for Parler to generate revenue and, even if  
3 Parler could find a replacement vendor, the software integration  
4 would take weeks.  
5

- 6 • After hearing of AWS's allegations, Parler's press relations firm,  
7 Shirley McVicker, abruptly terminated its agreement to represent  
8 Parler.  
9
- 10 • Flurry, which does anonymous analytics for Parler to understand app  
11 usage and statistics about Parler's iPhone and Android app use,  
12 terminated their relationship with Parler.  
13
- 14 • American Express has flagged Parler as violating their compliance  
15 rules and now Parler cannot use them as a credit card processor.  
16

17  
18 16. This is a limited list of vendors that have dropped Parler since AWS  
19 terminated services to our company. Even Epik, which is currently holding a  
20 domain for Parler, will not be providing the web hosting services that are essential  
21 for Parler to function as a company. Given this fallout, AWS's continuing refusal  
22 to honor its agreement to provide Parler with hosting and other online services is  
23 causing massive damage to our company's reputation, business model, and  
24 commercial liability.  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30



1           17. Finally, AWS's refusal to provide services to Parler, when paired with  
2 the massive reputational damage Parler has sustained, has also hobbled Parler's  
3 ability to respond to the factual allegations on which AWS's briefing relies. AWS  
4 makes many claims tying Parler account users to the recent civil unrest, but we  
5 cannot even access our data to verify or disprove AWS's claims.  
6  
7

8           18. My company is now a social network without a network. By turning  
9 off Parler's online capabilities, AWS has crushed our business's growth and  
10 eviscerated its ability to function as a going concern. Until those online capabilities  
11 are restored, Parler faces the very real and immediate prospect of permanent  
12 destruction.  
13  
14

15           19. As a final matter, multiple members of Parler's team have come to me  
16 expressing both fear for their career and fear for their lives and potentially bodily  
17 harm due to the press surrounding AWS's claims. Many employees want to resign  
18 due to the strain and pressure they feel, fearing hostility towards our company and  
19 fearing for their own safety. Some have had articles written about them. Many are  
20 being harassed by reporters and journalists already. Some have had to cancel their  
21 phone numbers, and their family members' phone numbers, due to harassment.  
22 Some have gone so far as to leave their home state to escape. I have left my home  
23 to go somewhere safe. Via messages on LinkedIn, Twitter, and email, I have  
24 received many recent threats of violence. Though most have presented no  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30



1 imminent threat of danger, I have seen one particular group with a history of  
2 committing murder doxing my home street on Twitter with threat accusations  
3 lobbed against me, as well as others circulating my personal passwords. AWS's  
4 false accusations have incited a virtual mob and presented a risk to my family, our  
5 employees, and their families.  
6  
7

8  
9 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  
10

11 Executed on January 13, 2021 at [REDACTED].  
12

13  
14   
15 John Matze, Jr.  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32



From: [REDACTED]@amazon.com>  
 Sent: Wednesday, December 16, 2020 10:19 PM  
 To: [REDACTED]@parler.com>  
 Cc: [parler-aws-account-team@amazon.com](mailto:parler-aws-account-team@amazon.com)  
 Subject: Cadence Call Summary

Hi [REDACTED]:

Attached, please find the summary of yesterday's cadence call, and below, please find my notes for a few follow-up items:

First, regarding Mac instance. 24hr minimum development usage is required by Apple in the cloud and out of the box, you have three ways to connect: 1. VNC using built-in VNC server which is also the default for GUI connection, 2. ssh connection just like other EC2, 3. Systems Manager agent. Mac instance is only available as dedicated host since this is really an Apple's Mac Mini running in our datacenter connected to our Nitro instance so you can install any software you like insofar as it is aligned with Apple's policy; attached, please find the gif showcasing Mac Mini in our gear. There is, however, a few restrictions thus far and those are Developer edition, OS, and peripheral; beta products and version thru the Developer program is not supported, which I understand as Apple's policy, and currently, Catalina and Mojave are the supported OS with Big Sur on the roadmap but you can do an in-place upgrade. With this being an EC2 instance, the normal restriction of not being able to physically plugin device for testing is the last limitation. That all being said, X-Code GUI and CLI runs smoothly and the recommendation on running gitlab CI/CD pipeline is exactly how you would do on-premise that differs based on where the runner is and other criteria. As you have already pointed out, EC2 networking for persistent connection is what would be different from on-premise versus the traditional networking in datacenter. Since this is a brand-new release, there is no whitepaper or real-life example they could provide on how others have set this up but if you have done this on-premise, the process will be very close to it.

<https://docs.gitlab.com/ee/ci/runners/README.html>

Secondly, we had discussion around AWS infrastructure and dependencies on the call, and today, one of the principal engineer shared [this](#) with me that I thought you may find it interesting. It does not address your question directly but I wanted to share that.

Lastly, we spoke around the abuse report and definitely understand the concern; if you or Amy have any questions, please reach out to any one of us! I used to receive more than a dozen report per day for another customer while I was working with another TAM, and definitely in this journey with you. On a similar topic, you may have seen an announcement already that Twitter is moving their timeline workload into AWS which I can imagine will mean more abuse for Twitter too. PR for the move can be found [here](#).

Best Regards,

[REDACTED]  
 Sr. TAM (US WEST) | Amazon Web Services

✉ [REDACTED] | ☎ +1.702 [REDACTED]



Thoughts on our interaction? Provide feedback [here](#).